



# Australian Citizens Party

Craig Isherwood, National Secretary

PO Box 376, COBURG, VIC 3058

**Phone:** 1800 636 432 **Email:** [info@citizensparty.org.au](mailto:info@citizensparty.org.au) **Web:** [citizensparty.org.au](http://citizensparty.org.au)

---

## MEDIA RELEASE

---

27 June 2019

### Thought police risk World War III

If the thought police in Australia's media stopped protecting the military-industrial complex, our nation could play a decisive role in preventing war with Iran, a confrontation that could easily drag in Russia and China and risk thermonuclear Armageddon. In exposing war propaganda and obvious "false flag" attacks, Australia—a key nation in the Anglo-American alliance—could send a strong signal to support US President Donald Trump's better inclinations towards opposing disastrous regime-change wars.

Supposedly Iran was responsible for an attack on six oil tankers in or near the Strait of Hormuz. Why would Iran be insane enough to provide an excuse for the world's biggest military superpower to launch an attack? A "false flag" attack is much more plausible. Before anyone shrieks "conspiracy theory", documentation exists in the public domain proving this is the way the Anglo-American war machine has long operated. In his [1961 farewell address](#), [President Dwight Eisenhower warned of the military-industrial complex](#) and that "potential for the disastrous rise of misplaced power exists and will persist".

In the following year General Lyman Lemnitzer, Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, signed off on the "[top secret](#)" [Operation Northwoods](#) that called for the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) or other US government operatives to commit acts of terrorism against American civilians and military targets, blame them on the Cuban government, and use them to justify a war against Cuba. President John F. Kennedy rejected Operation Northwoods, and in general his presidency displeased powerful interests overlapping the British elite and City of London oligarchy. Evidence exists that these interests were behind Kennedy's assassination.

#### Malcolm Fraser vs the media

Anyone questioning the official establishment version of major events is viciously attacked. Even former Prime Ministers! Malcolm Fraser set off alarm bells with his 2014 book [Dangerous Allies](#); he called to close Pine Gap and exposed the 1997 neoconservative Project for the New American Century, which initiated the subsequent regime-change wars. In a 9 May 2014 radio interview with Jon Faine on ABC 774, Fraser explained why Israel deliberately attacked the USS *Liberty*, an attack in 1967 that left 34 dead and 173 wounded. "The Americans tried to cover it up", said Fraser. "It wasn't a mistake. It was deliberate."

In a typical example of mainstream media enforcement of groupthink, Faine ridiculed and insulted Australia's former PM for daring to think such a thing: "It borders on the beliefs that some people have, which I have always thought were completely insane, about conspiracy theories like 9/11 and the like. And people believe all sorts of nonsense that they choose to then pursue, with no foundation whatsoever. You can't make that sort of a claim without backing it up, can you, even if you're Malcolm Fraser and you used to be the Prime Minister."

Testimony from several high-ranking naval officers proves Fraser was right. Admiral Thomas Moorer described the USS *Liberty* attack as "one of US history's most shocking cover-ups" in an article in the 16 January 2004 issue of *Stars and Stripes*. The [USS Liberty Veterans Association](#) states the attack was indeed deliberate. But in response to the Faine/Fraser interview, Australia/Israel and Jewish Affairs Council (AIJAC) national chairman Mark Leibler said Fraser's claim was a "mad, demented conspiracy theory".

#### 9/11 Truth

Media thought police shun any view of the 9/11 attacks in 2001 that contradicts the establishment position. But 9/11 led to fascist "anti-terrorism" laws that have now come to haunt the media itself as recent police raids demonstrate.

As noted, Faine's outburst in the Fraser interview and the reference of "conspiracy theories like 9/11" is typical of the media. It serves the agenda of the military-industrial complex in its attempt to justify perpetual warfare. Again it was Faine who clearly demonstrated this in a 20 October 2010 interview with Victorian Maritime Union secretary and Trades Hall president Kevin Bracken. In response to a Parliamentary debate on the Afghanistan War, Bracken put out a statement that a proper investigation into the events of 9/11 must be part of that debate. Bracken told Faine that the official 9/11 story is a "conspiracy theory that doesn't stand up to scientific scrutiny". Faine immediately

asked, "Are you serious, is this a hoax?" Bracken said he would love to debate it, but Faine snapped back, "there's nothing to debate", talked of "nutter conspiracies", and kept interrupting Bracken. This prime-time morning interview set off alarm bells in the establishment! Later that day in Parliament, Member for Kooyong Josh Frydenberg asked, "what action will the Prime Minister take to discipline Mr Bracken and send a message to others that such remarks are unacceptable?" To which then Prime Minister Julia Gillard said Bracken's remarks were "stupid and wrong".

But Bracken did not cite any outlandish 9/11 conspiracy theory, of which numerous exist online. He merely attempted to discuss some scientific principles, which thousands of qualified professionals have raised. The [Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth \(AE911Truth\)](#) now number 3,145 qualified architects and engineers, and bar few exceptions the media has refused to address their concerns. These highly qualified experts provide evidence that the Twin Towers and Building 7 were not brought down by fire, but something akin to a controlled demolition. They pose many questions which show the official version of events make no sense.

This year Senator Pauline Hanson and Greens candidate for Parkes David Paull were both viciously attacked for questioning what happened with the Port Arthur massacre. For saying that the massacre looked "like an operation designed for psychological manipulation of the general population", Paull was forced to quit. The Citizens Electoral Council's extensive 1997 investigation of Port Arthur concluded that Martin Bryant did carry out the attack, contrary to some claims, but that indeed it was an example of psychological manipulation, as Bryant had been diagnosed and treated by Dr Eric Cunningham Dax, who was trained by [Britain's Tavistock Institute](#), which specialises in mass-psychology events. Dax was a close associate of Tavistock head Brigadier John Rawlings Rees, a key figure in British Army intelligence.

In all cases, the suppression of genuine questions as "conspiracy theories" replaces the debate of evidence with a lynch-mob mentality, with tragic consequences. For starters, more than one million Iraqis are dead because of the WMD lie. Will suppression of truth take us to war with Iran?