

Did the Queen order the assassination of Diana? Australian investigation builds pressure on scandal-dogged Royals

The latest edition of *Executive Intelligence Review* magazine reports the explosive findings of Australian investigator John Morgan that the Queen ordered the 1997 assassination of Princess Diana, and MI6 carried out her order. "Only she could authorise the assassination of the most famous and photographed person in the world, the mother of the future King of England, the increasingly powerful Princess Diana", writes Morgan.


Please go online to read the pdf of the 13 February *EIR* article, *British Royals Feel Heat Over Diana's Assassination*.¹

His conclusion is the result of his decade-long investigation into Diana's death, from which he has assembled an unmatched body of evidence published in 11 books since 2007, including his 2014 *How They Murdered Princess Diana: The Shocking Truth*, a comprehensive summary of all of his previous work.

As the basis for a new play currently in production in London's West End, called *Truth, Lies, Diana*, Morgan's investigation has sparked an uproar in the UK. Playwright Jon Conway drew heavily on Morgan's research, and even included him as a character in the play. In an attempt to divert attention from its central theme—Morgan's charges against the Queen and MI6—most British media coverage focuses on the play's strong insinuation that Diana's lover James Hewitt is the real father of Prince Harry.

Provoked by the release in 2003 of Diana's October 1995 note to her butler Paul Burrell that her husband Prince Charles was planning to kill her in a "car accident", Morgan began to relentlessly investigate all previous findings about Diana's murder, including from the thorough investigation undertaken by *EIR* starting immediately after the crash, and moving on to the official British police inquiry, the Paget Report, released in 2006, and then the long-delayed Inquest undertaken finally in 2008. Though supervised by a judge clearly biased by his sworn personal allegiance to the Queen, the inquest's jury disregarded his explicit instructions to the contrary, and rendered the verdict of "Unlawful Killing"—murder—albeit "by persons unknown".

Morgan brought to the project his professional experience as a forensic accountant, that is, a career of dealing not only with minute detail, but with issues of evidence-handling and court admissibility. He establishes that Diana's outspokenness and post-divorce activities were a clear threat to the Crown, even to the survival of the monarchy itself, and blows apart the



myth that the Queen is a powerless figurehead, proving she exercises real authority over the British government and its key agencies, including the Secret Intelligence Service MI6.

As the 13 February *EIR* documents, this latest eruption of suspicion about Diana's murder comes amidst an escalating wave of scandals now engulfing the Royals. These include:

Crown Prince Charles's intimate ties to the precise Saudi Royals who have served as the long-time financial sponsors of the Wahhabite terrorist networks that include Al-Qaeda and ISIS, and his role for the last three decades as the UK's chief arms dealer to the terror-financing Saudis. Typical are his personal friendships with Prince Bandar bin Sultan and Prince Turki bin Faisal.

The pair were among only eight foreign royals whom Charles invited to his wedding to Camilla in 2005 and have been long time financial sponsors or board members of his Oxford Centre for Islamic Studies, along with several other Saudis implicated in sponsoring terrorism. Both Bandar and Turki are named in a 4000-page lawsuit against the Saudi sponsors of 9/11², filed on 3 February in New York by the families of its victims. Illustrative of the arrogant assumption of Charles and his family that they are above all law, is the controversial name of the new range of ceramic lamp stands, vases and serving bowls he has chosen to peddle at his Highgrove country store: Isis.³

Shocking revelations about a paedophile ring involving the highest levels of the British establishment, and even operating within Buckingham Palace. Attention has spilled onto Charles's relationship with monstrous child abuser Jimmy Savile, now deceased, who for three decades was a friend, confidante, adviser and "key aide" to the Prince.

The scandal around Prince Andrew, who is accused of sexually abusing a minor who was pimped to him as a sex slave by Andrew's long time associate, the convicted child abuser and billionaire financier Jeffrey Epstein.

These mounting revelations constitute the most serious assault on the standing and power of the House of Windsor since the days immediately following Diana's murder in 1997. The abundant evidence that John Morgan presents of the Royals' involvement in her murder has the power to finally topple the degenerate House of Windsor.

Please go online to read the 13 February *EIR* article, *British Royals Feel Heat Over Diana's Assassination*.⁵

1) http://larouhepub.com/eiw/public/2015/2015_1-9/2015-07/pdf/25-35_4207.pdf

2) http://larouhepub.com/other/2015/4207saudi_obm_28_pp.html

3) <http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2905988/Prince-Charles-launches-product-line-unfortunate-name.html>

4) http://larouhepub.com/eiw/public/2015/2015_1-9/2015-07/pdf/25-35_4207.pdf

5) http://cecaust.com.au/main.asp?id=free_EIR_diana.html

Prince Charles's Saudi associates named in new 9/11 law suit

On 3 February, members of the families killed or wounded in the 9/11 attacks filed a 4,000 page law suit in New York against those whom they claim sponsored the attacks. The story featured the following day on the front page of the *New York Times*. Among the Saudi royals named in the lawsuit as key orchestrators and financiers of 9/11, are two intimate associates of Prince Charles for decades: Prince Bandar bin Sultan and his brother-in-law Prince Turki bin Faisal. As long time top officials of Saudi intelligence, both are acknowledged sponsors of the rise of Al-Qaeda and were two of only eight foreign royals whom Charles invited to his wedding to Camilla Parker-Bowles in 2005. Both men have also long served as officials or financiers of Charles's Oxford Centre for Islamic Studies¹, into which Bandar has personally poured tens of millions of dollars and whose Strategy Advisory Committee is chaired by Turki.

Charles has come under heavy criticism recently in the UK for his shameless role over the past three decades as Britain's chief arms salesman to the Saudis, typified by a 3 February article in the *London Times* headlined "Charles is very secret weapon in dealings with the Gulf", and by Charles's protestations cited in a just-released biography, *Charles: The Heart of a King*, by Catherine Mayer, that he "no longer wants to promote arms sales in Gulf States". He just attended the funeral of Saudi King Abdullah on 24 January, and is now back in the Gulf once again from 6-12 February, for which his personal spokesman protested, "The Prince of Wales' upcoming visit to the Middle East is not about sales of defence equipment." Such denials are not surprising given that it is a matter of public record that during the course of his now 10 state visits to Saudi Arabia since the 1980s, Charles did indeed broker hundreds of billions in arms deals to the Gulf States, in particular to Saudi Arabia, including the infamous Al-Yamamah deal.²

But Charles's relations with the Saudi sponsors of Al-Qaeda and ISIS go much deeper than simply peddling arms to brutal regimes. He claims to be horrified by ISIS's mass slaughter of Christians and other religious minorities in the Middle East—sponsored by none other than his friends the Saudis and the Qataris—and by the "radicalisation of Islamic youth in the UK". He bemoaned the latter in an 8 February BBC Radio 2 *Sunday Hour* interview, but this he blames not on his own protection of the financiers of the terror network who recruit such youth,



but on the internet and a youthful "search for adventure and excitement".

But here is the reality: *Charles has himself personally intervened with British law enforcement authorities to stop investigations into international terrorism, in particular into the UK side of 9/11.* This was chronicled already in 2005 in the book *Saudi Babylon: Torture, Corruption and Cover-Up Inside the House of Saud*. Wrote authors Mark Hollingsworth and Sandy Mitchell, a former Saudi torture victim:

"Prince Charles's relationships with prominent House of Saud members have created serious problems and obstacles to UK agencies investigating claims of Saudi financing of international terrorism, according to Special Branch sources."

Exemplary is Hollingsworth's detailed account of an extraordinary meeting at New Scotland Yard in April 2003 between terrorism investigators and lawyers for families of the victims of 9/11, who were

already then preparing to sue Prince Bandar and Prince Turki for supporting international terrorism and for supervising 9/11 in particular. In that meeting, detective-chief inspector Stephen Ratcliffe, the Special Branch officer in charge of tracking terrorism financing, stated, "Our ability to investigate the Saudis is very limited," and made it unmistakably clear that the main reason was Prince Charles. (pp. 46-47)

The people of Britain and Australia, where this man will be the next king, must join with the campaign in the US to end the cover-up of the sponsors of international terrorism, by demanding a full investigation of the role of the British Crown, in the person of Prince Charles, in protecting this network. A good place to start would be with Charles's Oxford Centre for Islamic Studies. Besides Princes Bandar and Turki, a list of its other Saudi and Qatari founders and leading officials over the years reads like a Who's Who of the major financiers and advocates of international terrorism.³ Those relationships are indeed reflective of what a recent press release from Charles's Clarence House praised as "the long-standing and respectful relationships which exist between the Royal Family and the ruling families in the Gulf."⁴

It is precisely those "long-standing and respectful relationships" between the British and Saudi royals that must now be investigated. Otherwise, when a new mass terror attack hits the UK or Australia in the coming weeks as is now widely expected, you can thank Prince Charles..

1) http://cecaust.com.au/main.asp?sub=pubs&id=NC_08_01.html

2) http://www.larouchepub.com/other/2014/4121charles_arabia.html

3) http://cecaust.com.au/main.asp?sub=pubs&id=NC_08_01.html

4) <http://www.princeofwales.gov.uk/media/press-releases/the-prince-of-wales-visit-jordan-kuwait-saudi-arabia-qatar-and-united-arab>