

Citizens Electoral Council of Australia



Postal Address: PO Box 376, Coburg Vic 3058

Phone: 1800 636 432 Fax: 03 9354 0166

Home Page: www.cecaust.com.au Email: cec@cecaust.com.au

Authorised by R. Barwick, 595 Sydney Road, Coburg, Victoria 3058.

Printed by Citizens Media Group Pty Ltd., 595 Sydney Road, Coburg, Victoria 3058.

Federally Registered Political Party

7th of October 2010

How many people will an ETS have to kill to be a success?

An emissions trading scheme will make billions of dollars for Goldman Sachs, Malcolm Turnbull's business partners (see below).

It will also kill the real economy, because the energy that powers the economy produces carbon dioxide emissions, whereas the so-called low-emission "alternative" energy doesn't power the economy—solar, wind etc are useless sources of energy that consume more than they produce. (Try using solar power to manufacturing solar panels!)

How can Goldman Sachs make money out of something that destroys the economy? The same way they made billions out of the global financial crisis—trading. Goldman Sachs bet the other Wall Street banks would collapse, and when they did, it cleaned up.

Under an ETS, Goldman Sachs would absolutely rake it in as the economy went into shutdown, that is, until the electricity that powers their buildings and computers and trading platforms goes out, because the power station has been put out of business by their ETS.

(And that's not a joke: the concept of an ETS is based on the model devised by the infamous Enron for electricity trading in the U.S.; Enron was caught shutting down a California power station for hours during a heat wave in 2000, to drive up short-term prices, to make a killing in its trading. Enron may have gone bankrupt, but the monster it created has returned...as the ETS!)

But that's not to say an ETS wouldn't work. If its aim is to reduce carbon dioxide emissions, it would work—by killing people. The destruction it would wreak on the physical economy will kill countless people: through diseases of poverty; through respiratory illnesses in winter exacerbated by people scrimping on their power bills; through blackouts and brownouts in summer heatwaves, such as the one before Victoria's Black Saturday bushfire which killed 374 people, mostly over 75 years of age; through food shortages caused by diverting food and land into "renewable" scams such as ethanol, and through impediments to efficient farming practices; and at a global level, through the crime against humanity of inducing poor, under-developed nations into trading away their rights to develop, in order to offset the carbon dioxide emissions from the higher living standards of wealthier western nations.

As Victorian ALP MP Kelvin Thomson is fond of saying, the only way to reduce our carbon footprint is to reduce the number of footprints, so in that sense the ETS would be successful. But... if the aim is to stop global warming, would it be successful? How many people would it have to kill to achieve that?

The answer is it doesn't matter, because it won't stop climate change. It can't—climate has always, and will always, change. But the ETS will make Goldman Sachs a lot of money, and that's what it's for.

Goldman Sachs owns 10 per cent of Al Gore's Chicago carbon exchange, and Gore's three partners in his Generation Investment Management hedge fund in London, David Blood, Mark Ferguson and Peter Harris, are, like Australia's ETS champion, Malcolm Turnbull, all former Goldman Sachs executives.

In "The Great American Bubble Machine" published in the July 2009 edition of *Rolling Stone* magazine, author Matt Taibbi characterised Goldman Sachs as "a great vampire squid wrapped around the face of humanity, relentlessly jamming its blood funnel into anything that smells like money. ...

"The formula is relatively simple: Goldman positions itself in the middle of a speculative bubble, selling investments they know are crap. ... They've been pulling this same stunt over and over since the 1920s—and now they're preparing to do it again, creating what may be the biggest and most audacious bubble yet. ... the new game in town, the next bubble, is in carbon credits ... a groundbreaking new commodities bubble, disguised as an 'environmental plan,' called cap-and-trade. The new carbon-credit market is a virtual repeat of the commodities-market casino that's been kind to Goldman, except it has one delicious new wrinkle: If the plan goes forward as expected, the rise in prices will be government-mandated. Goldman won't even have to rig the game. It will be rigged in advance." [Emphasis added.]

Any sincere "environmentalist" who chooses to ignore this fact about an ETS, is a fraud committed to genocide. Are you?

To compare the CEC's record to that of the growing chorus of leaders, including PM Julia Gillard, who claim that nobody could have seen this crisis coming.

ORDER DVD

For a copy of our feature DVD *Homeowners and Bank Protection Bill: The Only Solution*, one-hour documentary, call toll-free 1800 636 432 or send this coupon to: CEC, PO Box 376, Coburg, Victoria, 3058 and leave ALL your details. Price: \$10.00 + P&H \$2.50



Name: _____
Address: _____
Phone: _____
Email: _____

JOIN OUR EMAIL LIST AT www.cecaust.com.au

Citizens Electoral Council of Australia



Postal Address: PO Box 376, Coburg Vic 3058

Phone: 1800 636 432 Fax: 03 9354 0166

Home Page: www.cecaust.com.au Email: cec@cecaust.com.au

Authorised by R. Barwick, 595 Sydney Road, Coburg, Victoria 3058.
Printed by Citizens Media Group Pty Ltd., 595 Sydney Road, Coburg, Victoria 3058.

Federally Registered Political Party

14th of September 2010

Don't swallow the Greens—they come out brown

The Greens, and the broader green ideology, are deadly to humans—and the environment, Citizens Electoral Council leader Craig Isherwood said today.

“Green policies kill man *and* nature,” he charged. “In fact, such is their damage to the environment, greenies should be renamed ‘brownies’.”

Mr Isherwood said the Greens’ policy on renewable energy was especially destructive to nature.

“Solar is the Green policy to warm the planet and reduce biodiversity,” he stated. “Instead of greening arid areas with water and vegetation, greenies plan mass areas of solar panels which they call solar ‘forests’. Compare solar panels to plants: through photosynthesis, plant life creates its own body by transforming energy and inert matter (e.g. the sun and water) into oxygen and complex sugars, to feed the atmosphere and the food chain. Through evapotranspiration, plants create rain and cool the earth.

He continued, “By contrast, solar energy costs more to produce than it gives back, and contributes nothing to the atmosphere, *other than the output of heat*. Nature’s green, leafy vegetation is not welcome anywhere near a solar array, because it ‘competes’ for the sunshine. (Ironically however, leafy plants can happily grow all over coal-fired and nuclear power plants.) Solar cells will never compete with plants for effectiveness in capturing and using the sun’s energy, so why on earth do greenies want to fry the earth by pushing them?”

He also pointed out that solar panels are deadly to water insects, which disrupts the food chain and is a threat to biodiversity. The solar panels reflect the sun’s rays, polarising the light. This is a trap for insects which lay their eggs on pools of water, because the way they detect the water is by the polarised light. To such an insect, the polarised light reflecting off the solar panel is ‘super-water’.

In arid regions where greenies plan massive solar arrays, the shortage of water makes it far more likely the insects will find the solar array to lay their eggs. Eggs laid on the solar panels are fried—not good for the insect or the solar panels. This not only threatens to kill off certain species of insects in a local region, but is a threat to the species higher up the food chain that depend upon the insects.

“Human beings have the creative power to transform the biosphere, to increase its power to sustain not only human life, but all life—and greenies hate it,” Mr Isherwood explained.

“For example, by building infrastructure to divert a small percentage of water in high rainfall areas that otherwise just goes out to sea, we can green deserts and create more rainfall. That is a truly ‘green’ idea.

“But the Greens define nature as untouchable ... except by them. They want to keep the deserts brown, as well as create solar heat sinks to turn other areas brown too.

“It is a completely unscientific view,” he said, “because nature itself is constantly transforming. Many arid areas were once lush rainforests, before climate changes thousands of years ago reduced the power of biospheric cycles in those locations, and they gradually lost the ability to support life.

“By understanding how the biosphere actually works, we can intervene to strengthen it, and there’s nothing greener than that.”


To view a six-minute video, *Changing Nature*, on the constant transformations of the biosphere go to www.larouchepac.com/node/15725 (or order DVD #3926).

Mr Isherwood urged people to study Lyndon LaRouche’s concept of the North American Water and Power Alliance (NAWAPA) as a project to increase the power of the biosphere.

Find out how our environment can be transformed!



WHAT AUSTRALIA MUST DO to Survive the Depression



Call 1800 636 432
for a free copy of
“Australia’s Blueprint
for Economic
Development”
New Citizen, which
includes information
on 18 proposed water
projects.