

## The Queen, Copenhagen, And 'Climate Change'

*Britain's Lord Monckton was science advisor to Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher during the 1980s. Stephan Ossenkopp interviewed him at the International Conference on Climate Change, in Berlin on Dec. 4, 2009. The conference was sponsored by the Institute for Entrepreneurial Freedom (Institut für Unternehmerische Freiheit, IUF), the European Institute for Climate and Energy (EIKE), the Nongovernmental International Panel on Climate Change (NIPCC), and the Committee for a Constructive Tomorrow (CFACT).*

**EIR:** Environmentalism and the climate change theory have been the main obstacle to industrializing the poor regions of the world. With that obstacle gone, should we not turn towards these poor countries and make them an offer to allow them to industrialize quickly?

**Monckton:** Of course it is not our gift to allow them to industrialize; it is theirs to allow themselves to industrialize. What they must do is no longer be cowed and bullied by the UN and by the IPCC [Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change] and by these bogus scientists with their bogus theories. They must say, "No, we do not need to worry about saving the planet anymore; those days are over. Therefore, if want to build fossil-fueled power stations, we will do it for the sake of lifting our people out of poverty."

That is the line which is being taken by China, South Africa, Brazil and India. They are taking a joint stance by saying, "We will not have any compulsory limit of our emissions. We will not have any mitigation actions at all, unless somebody else pays for them. And we will not have anyone come in and inspect what we do in mitigation unless somebody else has paid for that miti-



EIRNS/Michelle Rasmussen

gation.” And they have also said, “We will not allow the climate to be used as an excuse or a barrier to free trade.”

All of those four policies I think are very sensible policies, which all the countries of the Third World should endorse and take up and follow. They should no longer be bullied by the West.

**EIR:** The Queen gathered 53 heads of state in Trinidad recently and made the point that the British Commonwealth should gain a global perspective through the Copenhagen Conference. What is this all about?

**Monckton:** This is the first time that Her Majesty has spoken out of turn and against her constitutional requirement not to intervene into politics, since she took the throne. This is a very sad day. I am a very loyal subject to Her Majesty. She should not have said what she said. Of course, one cannot ask her to withdraw it now that it has been said, but what one can ask, is that she had better not ever say anything of that character again, in one direction or



Commonwealth Secretariat/Plc. Kenroy Ambris  
*Queen Elizabeth II and Prince Philip in Trinidad and Tobago, Nov. 26, 2009. Her speech there in support of the Copenhagen Conference, said Lord Monckton, made it “a very sad day.”*

another. That is not her place as a constitutional monarch. And she must remember that, or she will lose our loyalty—and then she will lose the throne.

## EPA Head Lisa Jackson Backs Climate Genocide

Dec. 7—In a declaration today that carbon dioxide, a harmless gas essential to life, “threatens the public health and welfare of current and future generations,” the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) claimed for the Obama regime dictatorial power to genocidally cut economic activity. In anticipation of this, Fred Singer, the first director of the U.S. Satellite Meteorology Service, had said in April that “this finding, if acted on, would be like dropping an atomic bomb on the economy.”

EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson claimed in today’s press conference that the U.S. Supreme Court had ordered the EPA to make such a finding in a 2007 decision concerning the 1970 Clean Air Act, and that, having now made the finding, the EPA is now legally obligated to issue regulations cutting down carbon dioxide emission, i.e., agriculture and industry.

In reality, the President can stop any such “findings” or regulations; that is why no such “finding” was issued for two years after that Supreme Court decision.

By issuing that lying “endangerment statement” now, the Obama Administration is trying to threaten the U.S. Senate with unilateral actions to shut down what remains of the U.S. economy, if it fails to pass the “cap-and-trade” monstrosity. The Administration is also positioning itself to force Third World nations, at Copenhagen, to commit *hara-kiri* via “emissions limits.” All this, under the orders of Obama’s British controllers, especially Prince Philip’s frankly genocidal Worldwide Wildlife Fund.

As Lyndon LaRouche said, either EPA chief Jackson has no idea what she is talking about, or she is lying wildly. To the exposure of the fraud of the global warming “science” via the leaks from East Anglia and otherwise, she could only reply with the phantasmagoria of “polar ice caps crumbling into the oceans, changing migratory patterns of animals and broader ranges for deadly diseases, historic droughts, more powerful storms and disappearing coastlines.”