



For More Information:

CONTACT US
1-800-636-432

PO Box 376
Coburg Vic 3058

Web: www.cecaust.com.au
Email: cec@cecaust.com.au

Carbon Trading is Hitler-style Genocide!

The global carbon dioxide cap-and-trade scheme which British imperial stooge Kevin Rudd is now attempting to ram through the Australian Parliament, and similar legislation pending in the U.S. and other countries, will reduce the world's human population by billions—and that is its intent.

The lie of human-induced climate change, was first hatched more than three decades ago by leaders of the British eugenics-cum-environmental movement, led by HRH Prince Philip. It was first championed by Margaret Thatcher's science guru Sir Crispin Tickell, along with Australia's very own eugenicist-cum-scientist Sir Frank MacFarlane Burnet. It has nothing to do with science. It is a British imperial doctrine to justify wiping out nation states—and billions of human beings—in order to secure the continued world rule by the still-existing British Empire, the core of whose power is the now-collapsing, privately-controlled international monetary system.

Those, Prince Philip-cen-

tred circles pushing the global warming fraud, targeted human CO₂ emissions as its ostensible cause, because CO₂ is the natural, and necessary, by-product of the industrial activity that sustains human life—energy, agriculture, manufacturing etc.

From 2006, their steadily-built hysteria about global warming erupted into a full-blown public relations offensive behind Al Gore and his quack movie, *An Inconvenient Truth*. Gore himself, an intimate of Royal princes Philip and Charles, led the push for a global financial "trade" in CO₂ emissions as the "solution", a trade from which he himself is raking in the dough.

Now, in the countdown to December's United Nations Climate Change Conference in Copenhagen, set as the ar-

Are you, yourself, stupid enough to believe this British imperial doctrine? If so, you are a dupe, just like the Germans who voted for Hitler.

tificial deadline for the enactment of a global cap-and-trade scheme, governments are being

ordered to pass enabling legislation. British lackey Kevin Rudd has positioned Australia at the front of the herd, by his determination to ram the *Carbon Pollution Reduction Scheme* bill through the Senate as soon as possible.

While Rudd's push has provoked a growing backlash, as in the National Party, the Institute of Public Affairs (a local arm of the British Crown's free-trading Mont Pelerin Society), and *The Australian* newspaper, these clowns have limited their arguments to such impotent proclamations as: "cutting Australia's emissions, and not China's, won't make a difference"; "it will cost jobs"; and "carbon storage by agriculture and forestry shouldn't be excluded". Others, including competent, and well-meaning scientists, have exposed "global warming" as the sort of mumbo-jumbo fakery which would almost put a witch-doctor to shame.

However, what none of these groups will say, is that those who created and now champion the global warming fraud, did, and do so, in order to *commit genocide*.



The British imperial oligarchy intends to slash the world's population down to 2 billion or less, and concocted the hoax of "man-made global warming" toward that end. (r.) Genocidal maniac Prince Philip, head of the World Wide Fund for Nature.

A killing formula

When inmates at the German chemical giant I.G. Farben's Auschwitz concentration camp were provided barely 800 calories of food per day, it killed them as surely as the gas chambers and firing squads.

The world condemned it as genocide.

By the same measure, environmental policies that suppress energy consumption, and deny populations the normal economic development to generate sufficient sources of energy,

are equally genocide. Take Africa, for instance. Ninety per cent of people in sub-Saharan Africa lack electricity, running water, proper sanitation and decent housing, and therefore die, in the millions, from malaria, malnutrition, TB, HIV/AIDS, and intestinal diseases. Not satisfied with those death rates, the British are ramming through their policy of "carbon reduction" in countries across the continent, typified by the recent decree by the Environment Minister of Chad. He banned the manufacture,

importation, and use of charcoal—the sole source of fuel for 99 per cent of the Chad population—declaring, "With climate change every citizen must protect his environment."

His sudden decree unleashed chaos and rioting in the country, which the government forcibly suppressed. The British imperialists led by Prince Philip openly intend to impose African-style conditions upon most of the rest of the world.

Continued Page 2

LaRouche: Economic Catastrophe by October

Unless dramatic measures are taken almost immediately, the world economy will collapse between now and mid-October, warned Lyndon LaRouche, the world's leading economic forecaster, in an international webcast on 1st August, 2009.

"The crisis point from which to reference the present U.S. and world situation, is the period from the 2nd of October through about the 10th or 12th of October of this year," LaRouche said.

"As of that time, the already, totally hopelessly bankrupt United States will have crashed entirely, politically, and will be in a process of disintegration—unless that process has started earlier. And it could start very early, in this present month of August."

LaRouche explained the collapse will be set off by the already total bankruptcy of the U.S. federal government, and state governments, which, he said, will cease to function following the 30th September end of the U.S. fiscal year.

Actual unemployment in the U.S. is running at a staggering 30 per cent, far higher than at

any time since the Great Depression, and is increasing at a rate of up to 800,000 per month, but two-thirds of the unemployed are not being paid benefits, because the governments have no money.

"By the end of August, this will be a catastrophe," LaRouche declared.

Triple Curve

In his address, LaRouche presented a revised version of his famous Triple Curve collapse function, to illustrate the unfolding process of economic breakdown (at right).

The Triple Curve illustrates the build-up of monetary debt, at a skyrocketing rate, relative to a declining, actual physical output in production: the lower curve represents the decline, per capita, in employment in productive labour in agriculture, infrastructure and basic physical production; the top curves show the increase in financial aggregates—stocks, bonds, and derivatives—and monetary aggregates—the money supply—over the same period.

LaRouche's revised Triple Curve illustrates how the col-

lapse has moved into a period in which the values of financial aggregates have begun to fall, since July 2007.


"This decline in financial aggregates, which has occurred just recently, in this last period, is the onset of the breakdown crisis," he said.

"Now, I first announced this problem, that we had turned into a breakdown crisis of the United States' economy, on the 25th of July, 2007. Three days later, that began—with what liars call the 'subprime crisis'. What actually happened was the beginning of a general breakdown crisis of the international financial-monetary system."

LaRouche blamed the impending catastrophe on the failure of U.S. authorities to implement his prescribed bankruptcy reorganisation, the *Homeowners and Bank Protection Act*.

"If we had gone through with what I proposed, during the period between late July and September 2007, we would be out of the woods, now," he said.

"What they did, is they created trillions of dollars of debt! Over \$20 trillion of debt, which is sitting on the back of the



LaRouche first put forward his Triple Curve function at a Vatican conference on health care in late 1995, to describe the inevitable collapse of economies not based on physical principles, but merely monetary (imperial) ones. He updated it in his 1st August webcast, to reflect the disintegration of monetary aggregates which exploded into view with the "sub-prime mortgage crisis" beginning late July 2007, a disintegration which he uniquely had forecast.

United States, entirely artificial! As a bailout, which is one of the greatest swindles ever pulled in the history of

mankind!"

Humanity can survive

To his largest-ever webcast audience, the American states-

man detailed the measures that must be taken now, for humanity to survive:

"The present monetary-financial system can not survive! But our nation can survive: And that's the choice we have to make. Are we going to serve Wall Street and London, or are we going to serve the defense of the United States and humanity? Now, the way we can do that, is the way that would be readily understood by Franklin Delano Roosevelt."

LaRouche spelled out the urgent need for a bankruptcy reorganisation of the American economy, using the power of the U.S. Constitution; how the present, evil, imperialist monetarist system must be replaced with a U.S. Constitution-mandated credit system; the necessity for a "Four Powers" agreement between the U.S., Russia, China and India around such a new credit system; and the importance of undertaking the shared challenge of a Mars space program, for humanity to define its future for the next 50 years.

For a transcribed excerpt of LaRouche addressing these topics, see p. 3.

From Page 1

The science of genocide

At the heart of the agenda to enforce a global consensus on CO₂ emissions to reduce the world's population, is the lie that the world is overpopulated. Are you, yourself, stupid enough to believe this British imperial doctrine? If so, you are a dupe, just like the Germans who voted for Hitler.

A forthcoming Citizens Electoral Council/LaRouche Youth Movement documentary will document how the lie of "overpopulation", that the Earth has a limited "carrying capacity", was first cooked up by the Venetian intelligence agent Giannaria Ortes in the mid-to-late 18th Century, in response to the rapid growth of the American colonies, their defeat of the mighty British Empire in the American Revolution of 1776-81, and their establishment of the first self-governing, republican nation state in the history of mankind. The Venetians, via their privately-run, usurious international monetary system, had ruled the world since around 1000


A.D.; over the course of the 17th and 18th Centuries, they spawned the British East India Company and the City of London as the new headquarters of that international Empire. Following Ortes' death, the British East India Company employee Parson Thomas Malthus plagiarised his argument that "food supply increases only arithmetically, while population increases geometrically", as the essence of his famous "scientific" essay of 1798, *The Principle of Population*. The British imperial stooge and nutcase Charles Darwin then applied Malthus' doctrine, first, to the animal kingdom: "the survival of the fittest" and then to human beings, founding the new science of "ecology"—a term coined by one of his followers in Germany. To solve this alleged problem of "overpopulation", both Malthus and Darwin proposed genocide, just like that being advocated by today's environmental movement. Proclaimed Malthus:

"Instead of recommending cleanliness to the poor, we should encourage contrary habits. In our towns we should make the streets narrower, crowd more people into the houses, and court the return of the plague. In the country, we should build our villages near stagnant pools, and particularly encourage settlements in all marshy and unwholesome situations. But above all, we should reprobate specific remedies for ravaging diseases; and those benevolent, but much mistaken men, who have thought they were doing a service to mankind by projecting schemes for the total extirpation of particular disorders." (*An Essay on the Principle of Population*, Book IV, Ch. V, 5th Edition)

Back in America, Abraham Lincoln's economic adviser, Henry Carey, wrote that the East India Company, the greatest private monopoly in human history, had invented the lie of overpopulation, to cover for the devastating effects of their in-



Parson Thomas Malthus (left) and Charles Darwin (right), the intellectual fathers of Hitler, Prince Philip and Al Gore.



ternational system of free trade: "The impoverishing effects of the [British free trade] system were early obvious, and to the endeavour to account for the increasing difficulty of obtaining food...was due the invention of the Malthusian theory of population..." [Emphasis added]

In the wake of Darwin's Malthus-inspired, 1859 book, *On the*

for it is the struggle for existence that produces the selection of the fittest." (*Hitler's Table Talk*)

Following WWII, after Hitler had made the term "eugenics" rather unpopular, Sir Thomas Huxley's grandson, Julian Huxley, the vice president (1937-1944) and president (1959-1962) of the British Eugenics Society, repackaged it as "environmentalism".

And so, the reality, is this: If you are a Greenie, you are a genocidalist, whether you are too stupid, or perhaps just too self-centred, to comprehend that provable reality, or not.

Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection, or the Preservation of Favoured Races in the Struggle for Life [emphasis added], British Crown Privy Councillor Thomas H. Huxley ("Darwin's bulldog"), Darwin himself, and his first cousin Sir Francis Galton, launched the "science" of eugenics—that in the harsh struggle for life, only the fittest race would survive.

This Malthus/Darwin witches' brew captured the essence of the British oligarchy's view of mankind—as a human herd to be controlled by an elite, which would cull its ranks, or just plain kill entire "races", including the "lower orders" of the "white race".

The entire British establishment, led by luminaries such as Prime Minister Lord Arthur Balfour, Sir Winston Churchill, Lord Bertrand Russell, George Bernard Shaw, Cecil Rhodes, Fabian Society founders Sidney and Beatrice Webb, and H.G. Wells, embraced eugenics as their religious creed. And so did that establishment's creation, Adolph Hitler, who said, "Providence has endowed living creatures with a limitless fecundity; but she has not put in their reach, without the need for effort on their part, all the food they need. All that is very right and proper,



Julian Huxley, scion of the Huxley/Darwin gene pool and President of the British Eugenics Society, who repackaged eugenics as environmentalism.

In his 1947 *Man in the Modern World*, Huxley channeled the spirit of Malthus: "The lowest strata are reproducing too fast. Therefore ... they must not have too easy access to relief or hospital treatment lest the removal of the last check on natural selection should make it too easy for children to be produced or to survive; long unemployment should be a ground for sterilisation."

In 1961, Huxley co-founded the World Wildlife Fund (WWF) with the fanatical racist Prince Philip and the Netherlands' Prince Bernhard, a former member of the Nazi SS. Backed by the most powerful

Anglo-Dutch resource cartels, including Royal Dutch Shell, BP, RTZ and Unilever, this new "environmental lobby" locked up vast tracts of African land for "conservation" (a term invented by Huxley's colleague Gifford Pinchot, vice president of the U.S. Eugenics Society), and spawned the whole panoply of green organisations worldwide, including the Australian Conservation Foundation in 1964, of which Prince Philip himself was president from 1971-1976.

WWF founder Prince Philip openly proclaims his genocidal aims, as in his remark to the German news agency *Deutsche Presse-Agentur*, in August 1988; "In the event that I am reincarnated, I would like to return as a deadly virus, in order to contribute something to solve overpopulation."

This was no offhand remark. The Prince repeatedly expressed the same determination, as to the Australian scientist (and longtime Rio Tinto board member) Sir Gustav Nossal. Professedly embarrassed by the Prince's outspoken advocacy of genocide, Nossal reported in his 2007 history of the Walter and Eliza Hall Institute, *Diversity and Discovery*:

"1988 was Australia's Bicentenary Year and ... His Royal Highness the Duke of Edinburgh paid an official visit to the Institute. He displayed a lively interest in all aspects of our work. I remember the occasion particularly for one exchange of views where I came close to breaching royal protocol. Having seen our work aimed at a malaria vaccine Prince Philip expressed the view that this would do more harm than good because it would augment the population explosion in Africa. Intrepidly, I ventured the thought that high death rates were not a great way to control population size... His Royal Highness was not impressed and moved on to other topics."

Climate Change: The Final Solution

The alleged danger of "global warming" is just the latest British imperial scam to sucker its dupes into welcoming mass murder. And the originators of "global warming" were unabashed genocidalists, such as Gus Nossal's friend and predecessor as head of the Walter and Eliza Hall Institute, Sir Frank



Prince Philip (centre right, with the row of medals) awards a medal in 1960 to his protégé, Australian eugenicist and bacteriological/viral warfare proponent Sir Frank MacFarlane Burnet (second from left). The president of the British Royal Society, Sir Cyril Hinshelwood, is on the right.

MacFarlane Burnet. A Julian Huxley crony, and a world-famous influenza expert, Burnet was a leading light of the eugenics movement, who advocated conducting bacteriological warfare to depopulate Asian nations, on which he himself did the research.

Already in the late 1960s, eugenicist Burnet was preaching that human CO₂ emissions would cause global warming.

The person who officially launched the global warming crusade, was another one of Huxley's circle, his cousin Sir Crispin Tickell, who once said, "Mankind is a disease."

In 1977, Tickell wrote the "groundbreaking" *Climatic Change and World Affairs*; in the 1980s, he was a principal adviser to British Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher, and, as he accurately reported to the BBC in 2004, "I think I persuaded Mrs. Thatcher of the importance of climate change, and she took it up in a famous speech at the Royal Society in 1988."

Tickell wrote Thatcher's

speeches on global warming, and, under his direction, Thatcher poured funds for the study of global warming into the British Meteorological Office, led by one John Houghton, which launched the worldwide crusade against "global warming".

Then, while British Ambassador to the U.N. (1987-1990), Tickell in 1988 organised the establishment of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), with Houghton chairing its scientific assessment office.

From 1990, Tickell headed up Washington's Climate Institute, coordinating with then-Senator Al Gore to force the U.S. Government to adopt the global warming scam, while Britain's current high priest of climate change, Sir Nicholas Stern, is Tickell's protégé.

And so, the reality, is this: If you are a Greenie, you are a genocidalist, whether you are too stupid, or perhaps just too self-centred, to comprehend that provable reality, or not.

Genocide, in their own words...

"But bad times, you may say, are exceptional, and can be dealt with by exceptional methods. This has been more or less true during the honeymoon period of industrialism, but it will not remain true unless the increase of population can be enormously diminished. At present the population of the world is increasing at about 58,000 per diem. War, so far, has had no very great effect on this increase, which continued throughout each of the world wars... War ... has hitherto been disappointing in this respect, but perhaps bacteriological war may prove more effective. If a Black Death could spread throughout the world once in every generation, survivors could procreate freely without making the world too full... The state of affairs might be somewhat unpleasant, but what of that? Really high-minded people are indifferent to happiness, especially other people's."

-Bertrand Russell, *The Impact of Science on Society*, 1951

"You cannot keep a bigger flock of sheep than you are capable of feeding. In other words conservation may involve culling in order to keep a balance between the relative numbers in each species within any particular habitat. I realise this is a very touchy subject, but the fact remains that mankind is part of the living world... Every new acre brought into cultivation means another acre denied to wild species."

-Prince Philip, Duke of Edinburgh, Consort of Queen Elizabeth II of Great Britain

"In searching for a new enemy to unite us, we came up with the idea that pollution, the threat of global warming, water shortages, famine and the like would fit the bill... But in designating them as the enemy, we fall into the trap of mistaking symptoms for causes. All these dangers are caused by human intervention and it is only through changed attitudes and behaviour that they can be overcome. The real enemy, then, is humanity itself."

-Club of Rome, *The First Global Revolution*, 1991

"Isn't the only hope for the planet that the industrialised civilisations collapse? Isn't it our responsibility to bring that about?"

-Maurice Strong, Secretary-General of the UN Earth Summit, June 1992.

"This is a terrible thing to say. In order to stabilize world populations, we must eliminate 350,000 people per day. It is a horrible thing to say, but it's just as bad not to say it."

-Jacques Cousteau, co-recipient in 1977 (with WWF co-founder Sir Peter Scott) of the International Environmental Prize awarded by the United Nations for outstanding contributions in the field of the environment. Quoted from *UNESCO Courier*, November 1991.

A "human way to reduce the population might be to put something in the water, a virus that would be specific to the human reproductive system and would make a substantial proportion of the population infertile. Perhaps a virus that would knock out the genes that produce certain hormones necessary for conception. ... A triage approach will be necessary so that scarce medical resources go to those who can contribute most to the long-term viability of the planet. Consequently, many middle-aged-to-elderly people will die uncomfortable deaths. Not every problem is solvable."

-Dr John Reid, neuroscientist and radical environmentalist, ABC radio interview with Robyn Williams, 10th December, 2006.

V.I. Vernadsky: The Real Science of the Environment

While the present-day quack "science" of environmentalism was cooked up by the British Empire, to justify eugenics-driven mass murder, there does exist a real "science of the environment", that elaborated by the great Russian scientist Vladimir Ivanovich Vernadsky 1863-1945. Vernadsky demonstrated, unequivocally, that the known universe is divided into three, interacting "phase spaces". From the lowest to the highest, in terms of physical "power" and complexity, they are: the inorganic, or pre-biotic Lithosphere; the Biosphere (including all the products of living processes, such as the oceans and the atmosphere); and the Noösphere. He proved that, historically on the scale of millions of years, the physical mass of the Biosphere has lawfully increased relative to that of the Lithosphere, and that the physical mass

of the Noösphere—the fruit of mankind's mental creative powers, such as cities, industry, and great infrastructure projects—has lawfully increased relative to that of the Biosphere, and that the present historical, geological epoch in which we are now living, is that of the Noösphere. This progression is a natural, demonstrable "law of the universe", which universe evolves to ever-higher orders of complexity. By contrast, genocidal environmentalists such as Charles Darwin or Prince Philip merely rule out the existence of the Noösphere altogether, by claiming that man has no mental-creative powers, and is therefore merely an animal of the Biosphere, just like any other (or, probably worse). If you were an oligarch, or, like Darwin, the lackey of one, wouldn't you, too, argue that individual men and women have no creative powers of mind?



Russian scientific genius Vladimir Vernadsky (1863-1945)

American statesman and economist Lyndon H. LaRouche has elaborated Vernadsky's work in numerous of his own writings, such as his 2001 book, *The Economics of the Noösphere*, and in numerous major articles since, including his most recent, 29th May, 2009 *Economic Science, In Short*, and his 21st June, 2009, *The Rule of Natural Law*.

New Citizen

Published & printed by:
Citizens Media Group Pty Ltd
595 Sydney Rd Coburg Vic
PO Box 376 Coburg Vic 3058
ACN: 010 904 757
Tel: 03 9354 0544
Fax: 03 9354 0166
Editor Craig Isherwood

LaRouche's August 1, 2009 Webcast:

Plan 50 years ahead, to survive now

The following transcript is an excerpt from Lyndon LaRouche's August 1, 2009 webcast, The Fall of the House of Windsor. See the entire webcast at www.larouchepac.com.

A Nation-State; a Credit System

We [the United States] were already a nation, by the Declaration of Independence. But we had to become an efficient nation-state.

So what we had, first, we had a system based on the idea of national credit—not a monetary system!—a credit system! Under which no currency is legal, except that created, by the government of the United States, or through its treaty agreements to that effect, with other nations. In other words, we sought trade, we sought treaty agreements based on this conception: that a currency should not be uttered, or not be allowed to exist, unless it is based on the will of a sovereign state, to create that system of credit as debt. It's the ability of the nation-state to go into debt, and to go through bankruptcy reorganization of debt, in order to establish the sovereign authority of a people over its own currency and credit. That is a credit system.

The opposing system, of empire, which we've known in Mediterranean and Atlantic civilization, since the Peloponnesian War, has been monetarism! We had Asian monetarism before that! Monetarism! The idea that a private interest, such as the Cult of Delphi, could create a monetary power, for loans, for loan-sharking. And to control the world's financial affairs and economic affairs, through a method of loan-sharking, called monetarism. And the United States was created, to free us, and protect us, from the disease of filth, called monetarism; and to base ourselves on a credit system. Which was also Franklin Roosevelt's intention, for the postwar period.

So, we've been destroyed, by usury ... particularly with the act of treason called the *Federal Reserve System Act* [1913]: an act of treason!!

How was it accomplished? Very simply. What you had, was Teddy Roosevelt [U.S.

President, 1901-1909], who was a stinking traitor, and you had Woodrow Wilson [U.S. President, 1913-1921], who was a stinking Nazi-type traitor, but these two guys connived to initiate the process, and complete the process of creating the so-called Federal Reserve System, the *Federal Reserve Act*, under which, now, you had the introduction of a monetarist system into the United States' domestic and international affairs.

National Bankruptcy: Not a Shameful Thing

Now, as a result of our enslavement, to this *Federal Reserve Act*, and its implication, we were looted and driven into bankruptcy by unconstitutional acts, including this swindle, this debt, of over \$20 trillion now sitting on our back, as a result of bailouts of various types, which have been conducted since September of 2007—rather than the proposal which I made, in 2007 [*The Homeowners and Bank Protection Act*, HBPAA]. If what I had proposed be adopted, in 2007, as many influential people, governors and others, in this nation, had intended to support my proposal—if that had been done, we would be out of the woods, today...

And therefore, we've come to the point, we have to go through national bankruptcy. Now, national bankruptcy is not a shameful thing...

We have to put this thing into bankruptcy reorganization. A very simple thing to do—I can fix this thing very easily: You have a meeting. And you have a bunch of people who are sane patriots, at this meeting. We take all this financial stuff, floating out there, claims against this, claims against that: We're now going to put the United States through a bankruptcy reorganization, modeled on what our decisions were in this connection earlier in our history. We're going to bankruptcy.

We take all these claims against the United States, financial claims against the United States, and we say, "Okay, let's look at what's in this bank. Is this asset valid by these standards? Yes? Okay, it

goes there. Is it not? Okay, we put it in the trash can."

And we go through that, case by case, in the same way that [Franklin] Roosevelt, in a much milder problem, did with the Bank Holiday. We want to save the commercial banks: That's where people's savings, legitimate savings are located. That's where the credit, that's indicated that they require, locally, for business practices and similar kinds of things, for loans, for mortgages, and so forth. We want to clean this up. We want to reorganize the mortgages. And come out, quickly, with a set of salvageable banks—private banks under the national system.

We then will take all this trash, these claims, based on financial derivatives and other kinds of schemes: "Sorry, buddy! You gambled. This is gambling debts—you lost the gamble. We just lost the gamble for you! To relieve you of this great burden, on your conscience."

And now, you have a United States.

Now, what do you do? Now, you got rid of this \$20-odd trillion of waste paper, as claims against the United States. What do you do? We go into debt! Now, that we've cleaned up the bad debt, now we can have some honest debt. ...

You use national, long-term credit, investing in technological progress, and getting from autos on the street into railroads, for example. Things like that: These kinds of things which increase the physical productivity per capita and per square kilometer of the nation.

We don't have many industries left in the United States. They've been destroyed! How are we going to build these industries up? Well, we have people who used to work in the auto industry. We still have the locations; we still have the people in the Great Lakes area; we still have people with skills. We don't have an auto industry—we destroyed that—but these communities are capable of doing other things, besides making automobiles. They can make large-scale railway systems; they can make power systems, or elements of power systems; they can rebuild our river systems, our locks and dams. And all of this will contribute to the good!

The Empire of Monetarism

This comes to another big problem: Since the Peloponnesian Wars, European and extended civilization, has been ruled by monetary systems: That is, we've been ruled by money, by powers which are largely private powers, which control money. We have the Cult of Delphi, for example, and the Cult of Delphi was actually an instrument of monetarism, which became significant in this form, after the defeat of the Persian attempts to take over the Mediterranean area. Which led into what became orchestrated as the Peloponnesian War [431-404 B.C.]. And since that time, with the gradual rise of the Roman Empire, then the shift from the Roman Empire, to the Byzantine Empire. Then, about a thousand years ago, a little more, the breakdown of the Byzantine

Empire as a power, and the rise of Venice, the Venetian monetary system as the controlling power—monetarism—Europe has been ruled by an empire.

Now, the empire is called the British Empire, but it's not actually the British Empire... It's not the British people; it's not the British population. It's the system. It's the monetary system!

Now idiots keep talking about "empires", like you have one country that has an empire, because it rules over other countries. That's not what an empire is. It never was an empire. An empire is based—all empires, especially those which have existed since the Peloponnesian War, have always been based on *monetary systems*. They have been based on international systems. The Roman Empire was an international system. It was not the rule of the Mediterranean by Rome. The Roman Empire was created on the Isle of Capri, by a meeting, between the representatives of three empires: This was the Middle East, this was Rome, and Egypt... And it was called the Roman Empire. The agreement was, to make the capital in Rome.


But if you look at the history of the thing, it was never the Italian people, that were ruling; it was an empire. And an empire, under the law which defines an empire, historically, the empire is a law-giver over nations. In other words, it is not a nation, governing other nations. It is an international agency, over all nations. Which has a capital in some place, and the capital changes. And since the decline of the Byzantine Empire, and the rise of Venice, all empires, based in Europe, or European civilization, have been centered in the monetary center of Venice! So, Venice is actually the capital of the empire, not London. London has been chosen, as the Roman Empire was chosen, as an arrangement. And that's what we're up against. What the power is, is international money!

Whom Did We Bail Out?

Go back to 2007, where I made this proposal [*Homeowners and Bank Protection Act*], for reform. I said, we propose a reform, on the basis of the authority of the Constitution of the United States. That would have worked. We would not be in this mess today!

But, who the hell came up with this other idea? Of getting the United States into debt, for obligations it didn't owe?! In order to bail out London, to bail out the international monetary system! At the expense of the United States! To loot the United States and its Treasury, for the benefit of an international monetary cartel! What about "bail out"! Whom, did we bail out? Did we bail out our industries? Did we save the auto industry, or put it into equivalent form, something else besides autos? Did we save the American farmer? Did we save the infrastructure, of the cities of the United States and the states? Whom did we bail out?

We bailed out the London bankers and their New York extension. We don't owe them anything. *We just happen to*



American statesman and economist Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

have a government that says that.

This is our nation. And the law of bankruptcy of our nation is our authority. If I were President, I would end this thing right now. And I'm sure, I could get the support of the great majority of American citizens, very quickly, simply by making clear what I intend to do: Put the whole thing in bankruptcy. ...

But we have to get the four big nations to cooperate on an agreement, and the others will gather, and we'll have an enlarged agreement.

We then create a new international system, entirely a credit system, consistent with the design of the credit system built into the U.S. Constitution. All monetarism is canceled!

Looking 50 Years Ahead: We're Going to Mars

Let me shift this: What do you do, when you want to develop a society? Do you build from the bottom up? Not really. Animals build from the bottom up, like beavers... but I'm not a beaver.

What we do, is we simply ... go to a space program. Why? Because, if you want to accomplish something, in progress, you have to mobilize yourself, by going to a higher platform than you're standing on, now. Go beyond—go in the imagination, beyond what you think you should be doing now, and go to a higher level. Because, remember: Progress is building something for the future. So, to build for the future, you have to define the future. You have to define your destination. Building for the future, you're talking about generations, generally, at least two generations. You're talking about 50 years ahead.

So, look at the horizon, where do we want to be 50

years from now? In terms of technology, in terms of effects for humanity? People can understand 50 years, it's a short time.

Well, I say, 50 years ahead, we're going to be on Mars. And we define where we are today, by defining the objectives we have to fulfill to get to Mars, 50 years from now. Because this means—for example, technology. You can send junk to Mars; you can send equipment to Mars; you can send robots to Mars. But, can you send people? Because, in going there—we're talking about 200 days or so forth, that order of magnitude of travel—you're going to put somebody out in zero gravity, or nearly zero gravity, for the better part of a year? You think you're going to get living people at the other end, at the other depot that you're going toward? No. So you have to think about a gravitational magnetic-field environment. You have to create an artificial environment of gravitation. ...

We, therefore, as a nation, and a people, and among nations, have to see this objective that we are going to reach within 50 years, now. We're going to then think about the technologies that will get us there, and we're going to think about the technologies that we are going to need when we arrive!

So, our job is to adopt arrangements like that. That means that we've got to abandon environmentalism, which is a form of insanity. It's a killer; it's mass murder. If you don't develop the economy, do not develop technology, you're not going to be able to sustain the population. If you can't sustain the population, you're going to kill them, aren't you?

Continued Page 4



Members of the LaRouche Youth Movement organizing for Australia to adopt LaRouche's *Homeowners and Bank Protection Bill*.



Venice, the capital of the British Empire: since the decline of the Byzantine Empire beginning around 1000 A.D., all European empires have been centred in the monetary centre of Venice.

Health Care "Reform": Population Control for Australians?

Under accelerating conditions of economic collapse and the spread of old and new pandemic diseases, the proposed health care 'reforms' handed down by Kevin Rudd's National Health and Hospitals Commission (NHHC) on July 28th, will result in untold rates of mass death in Australia. Among other things, Rudd intends to reorganise Australia's public health system upon the same "casemix" system that Jeff Kennett used to gut Victoria's system in the 1990s. To ensure that the murderous Kennett-style casemix would be the basis of his federal takeover of hospitals, he appointed the architect of Kennett's Victorian health care reforms, Professor Stephen Duckett, to his Commission.

Rudd's "reforms" have little to do with Australia per se. They have been dictated by the same British imperial financial interests who have notoriously destroyed Britain's national health care system—in which patients are routinely denied care as a matter of official policy—and are trying to do the same to the U.S., through British stooge Obama's present drive for Hitler-modeled "reforms" there. Aside from looting the population along the way, *the chief purpose of these reforms is to kill people*, as per Prince Philip's repeatedly stated desire that the world population must be cut from its present 6.7 billion, down to two billion or less.

Kennett's carnage

The single greatest cause of the present, unprecedented crisis in Australia's health care system, has been its systematic, chronic underfunding over the past two decades, exacerbated by economic rationalist demands that health care be "profit-making". This has now resulted in the unnecessary death of an estimated 6,000 people per year, even by understated official estimates, as undermanned, overworked and harried staff try to assist patients crowded on trolleys in hallways, in packed emergency rooms, and on ambulances on perpetual bypass. The precedent for such underfunding as a *matter of policy*, was set by Victorian Premier Jeff Kennett's brutal cuts to health care from 1992-99, "reforms" dictated by the British Crown's Mont Pelerin Society.

As documented in the May 1996 issue of the *New Citizen*, the Mont Pelerin gang installed Kennett in office in 1992, armed with a reform plan written by two of its local arms: the Macquarie Bank-funded Tasman Institute and the Institute of Public Affairs. Ominously titled "Project Victoria", it targeted every sector of the Victorian government for downsizing, outsourcing, amalgamation and privatisation.

Kennett set a target of a ten per cent cut in Victoria's public health budget in two years, which was achieved through the implementation of Professor Stephen Duckett's casemix hospital funding system in 1993.

Casemix was ostensibly designed to achieve "efficiency"; under the system, patients were classified into diagnosis-related groups (DRGs), and hospitals received a fixed level of funding for each type of diagnosis.

Patients who took longer to recover, such as the elderly and the frail and those with post-operative complications, didn't attract as much funding as other DRGs, which led to hospitals discharging patients in the low-funded DRGs early, restricting the number of patients in the low-funded DRGs, and competing for patients in the DRGs which received higher funding.

Hospitals in rural communities with a disproportionate number of elderly and chronically-ill patients, saw their budgets slashed; the Moe hospital was forced to close in 1995, after losing \$12 million, or 25 per cent of its annual budget, under casemix funding.

According to the Australian Bureau of Statistics, 12,000 jobs—including 2,000 hospital nurses—were cut from Victoria's health and community services sector between February 1992 and February 1994.

In 1995, Kennett replaced the individual boards of management which operated Victoria's public hospitals, with commercially-oriented boards of professional directors which ran hospital networks with a business orientation; Macquarie Bank's Graeme Samuel got the plum job of running the Inner and Eastern Health Care Network.

The result of Kennett's reforms was chaos: one study, by the Royal District Nursing Service, showed that in the wake of casemix funding, more public hospital patients were being readmitted because they were discharged too early, which the RDNS blamed on the pressure to comply with casemix weightings, or to make beds available for patients banked up on trolleys in emergency departments.

Insanely, under the sponsorship of the Commonwealth Casemix Development Program, most other states followed



The bestial "utilitarian" theories of the British East India Company's Jeremy Bentham (l.), provide the figleaf rationale for the murderous, British-designed health care "reforms" of U.S. President Barack Obama (c.), and of Kevin Rudd and his designee, Stephen Duckett (r.).



Victoria's lead, and imposed the casemix funding system.

Now, purportedly in order to solve the resulting crisis in state public hospitals caused by casemix, Rudd intends to take them under federal control, and impose more casemix.

Behavioural economics

Rudd's NHHC is targeting a 0.2 per cent of GDP saving in national health and residential aged care expenditure, by 2032-33. As in the British system—or in Obama's plans—the elderly are targeted. For example, Recommendation 42 of the report demands aged care funding be reduced by sharply raising the age qualification in the funding formula from over 70 years of age, to over 85, and then only funding actual users, instead of the current system of funding capacity (places per 1000).

The similarity between Rudd's targeting of the elderly, and Obama's targeting of the elderly in the U.S., is not a coincidence.

On 31st July, Rudd's Finance Minister Lindsay Tanner, the man charged with cutting the budget deficit, dedicated a column in *The Australian* newspaper to an enthusiastic endorsement of behavioural economics, the fascist population-control methods practised by Obama's economics advisers whose origins reach back to the British East India Company "economists" Adam Smith and Jeremy Bentham. They taught

that man is merely an animal, motivated by seeking pleasure ("utility") and avoiding pain, and Bentham's "utilitarianism" gave birth to the "marginal utility", or "cost-benefit" analysis of today's behaviourists, in which pleasure has been retitled "benefit", and pain, "cost". The behaviourists, of course, define what is a "benefit". Then all health care, as in the present British system, for instance, becomes merely a statistical model, with such equations as, "Is it 'beneficial' to 'society', to save the life of an elderly patient, if it will 'cost', say, \$2000 a year?" Or, might it not be more "beneficial" to free up that \$2,000 to bail out Wall St., the City of London, or even Australia's own bankrupt "Big

Four", slashing population levels along the way? Obama, for instance, has forked over \$26 trillion in "bail-out" funds to Wall St. and London, even as he demands that \$1 trillion be cut from U.S. health-care costs, to allegedly "keep down the budget deficit".

And these health care "reforms", in Britain, in the U.S., in Australia, and elsewhere, are being rammed through even as medical experts warn that the present, relatively mild but highly-infectious form of the swine flu, could well give way to the sort of highly-infectious, but deadly virus as the Spanish flu of 1918, which killed 50-100 million of what was then a much smaller world population.



The Preston and Northcote Community Hospital (PANCH), a locally-run hospital, was taken over by Kennett's new health care networks, and shut down in 1998. The site was turned into student accommodation.



Former Premier Jeff Kennett, a puppet of the British Crown's Mont Pelerin Society, exposed by the CEC in its landmark 1996 *New Citizen*.



Free DVD: The Homeowners and Bank Protection Bill—The Only Solution

This one-hour documentary is the first feature production from the LaRouche Youth Movement and the CEC.

Discover the true nature of the current economic crisis, explained in the context of Lyndon LaRouche's Triple Curve economic collapse function as the inevitable consequence of a monetarist economic system.

Learn about the key post-WWII economic decisions and events which destroyed government orientation to physical economy, collapsed the Bretton Woods system, and established the globalised monetarist economy currently in breakdown—all of which was forecast by LaRouche over 50 years.

See the role played by the policies of Bob Hawke and Paul Keating, continued by John Howard and Kevin Rudd, in setting Australians up to lose their homes, farms, superannuation and jobs in the current crisis.

Finally, understand why the bankruptcy protection measures in the *Homeowners and Bank Protection Bill* make it the only possible solution to a crisis that is far worse than any political leader acknowledges, or even understands.

For a free copy call toll-free 1800 636 432 or send this coupon to: CEC, PO Box 376, Coburg, Victoria 3058 and leave ALL your details below.

Name: _____
 Address: _____
 Phone: _____
 Email: _____

* Offer not available to existing members or people who have received previous free offers.

From Page 3

So therefore, you always have to go to the newer technologies which are needed to enable you to provide the conditions of life required. In general, the way we measure this scientifically is what is called energy-flux-density. That is, take how many calories, for example, of power, or watts of power, are you transmitting, per cross-sectional unit of one centimeter, per second? That's your measure.

Go Ahead, with Optimism!

So, we have to move ahead, think about technologies, think about the technology of the future, develop a population that can deal with these technologies, and go ahead, with optimism. ...

And the worst problem of this President is: He has no morality. He has no commitment to people and their descendants. He has no commitment to the future of humanity.

All great people, like people in warfare, have been willing to surrender their lives for the sake of the benefit of coming generations, when they

Plan 50 years ahead, to survive

thought that was necessary. They did not think of losing something because they lost their life.

Most parents, in former times, sacrificed much of their lives for the sake of their children and grandchildren. And they rejoiced in what they saw in the result, when they were old. And they thought of people, of their own ancestors, who had died. And they thought generously of them, because they recognized what they had given to them, and desired to be as good in the eyes of their descendants, as they saw their predecessors, in their own eyes. It is this sense of immortality, that despite we die in the flesh, we must be immortal in the spirit. And the spirit must decide what our duty is, not the flesh. We maintain the flesh, so the spirit may function. And we organize society based on great principles, which go to that point.

We have a junk heap. If we don't do something soon, this country is going to go to chaos. It may end up in a bloody dictatorship. It may end up in



Mankind's destiny is obviously among the stars, so why don't we get up out of the mud and start colonising Mars?

a planetary dark age. The way we are going, all those evils are things we now deserve for our negligence.

We have to take this President, and straighten him out. We have to get him to fire everybody associated with his health-care policies. Dump them out of that Administration now. Dump every policy of that type out of the Administration now. Purge it of evil! And turn the responsi-

bility for the Administration over to those institutions of government which include those members of Congress who have developed a better sense of shame than they have shown so far. And by those members of government now, who are in power, who will freely and happily change the policies of this government in the direction needed.

And, as long as I am here, I am going to help them do it.